**River Basin Management Plans** 

# Benthic Invertebrates - Infaunal Quality Index - Transitional and Coastal Waters

December 2009







#### SUMMARY PROFORMA FOR WATER FRAMEWORK DIRECTIVE

## The purpose of this proforma is to summarise the tool

#### 1. Project Details

Classification Tool	Benthic Invertebrates – Infaunal Quality Index (IQI)
Project Reference Number/s	EMC/WP15/043 & 052
Sponsor (task team/agency/project)	MBITT/EA/MTT
Water category	Transitional and Coastal Waters
Biological element	Benthic Invertebrates
Pressures the tool is sensitive to	General disturbance (particularly organic enrichment, hazardous
	substances)

#### 2. Contact details

NIEA Project Manager	Tim Mackie
BTT Lead Name	Alison Miles
Organisation/Address	Environment Agency, NMMT, Kingfisher House, Goldhay Way, Orton
Telephone	Goldhay, Peterborough, PE2 5ZR
Email	01733 464138
	alison.miles@environment-agency.gov.uk

#### 3. Criteria for assessing WFD classification tools (with respect to future tool adoption)

Classification Tool Criteria	Response	
1) Please submit your EQRs	Boundary	EQR
	High – Good	High ≥0.75
	Good - Moderate	Good >0 64-0 75
	Moderate – Poor	Mod ≥0.44-0.64
	Poor – Bad	Poor ≥0.24-0.44
		Bad <0.24
2) Have the boundaries been intercalibrated in phase 1	Coastal waters – subtidal muddy sand habitat only	
<ul> <li>please specify which have/haven't</li> </ul>	Transitional waters – 2 <sup>nd</sup> round of Intercalibration	
	(2007/2011), plus other	habitat type boundaries
If there are components of the tool that have not been		
intercalibrated what is their influence with respect to the intercalibrated boundaries?		
	The Infaunal Quality Index	(IQI) assesses ecological
3)) Summary description and/or map of the types	status based on the soft sec	diment infaunal communities
(please provide reference to more complex explanation,	of Transitional and Coastal	vaters, and forms part of the
i necessary, page number specific!)	Benthic Invertebrate Biologic	cal Quality Element.
	The IQI is a multi-metric too Biotic Index, Simpson's Eve Individual metrics have been show changes in the bent due to anthropogenic press a range from zero (bad statu	I composed of: AZTI Marine nness, and number of taxa. In weighted and combined to hic invertebrate community ures. The tool operates over is) to one (high status).
	Each metric is compared to for that habitat type. Maximi sample type have been esta and expert judgement. Class using the behaviour of the be communities over a quantifia gradient from a sewage slud	a reference value specified um values for habitat and blished using historic data s boundaries were defined enthic invertebrate able organic enrichment ge disposal site.
	Intercalibrated boundaries for of specified habitat type, (su muds) and sample type (0.1) agreed.	or the IQI for coastal waters btidal muddy sands/sandy m <sup>2</sup> , 1mm mesh) have been

### UKTAG Summary Proforma

Classification Tool Criteria	Response
4) Method used to establish the type-specific reference conditions for the tool	A combination of best available data and expert judgement
<ul><li>5) Is the tool covered by an existing CEN/ISO standards</li><li>- if so, which one? Does it comply with the standard?</li></ul>	Yes EN ISO 16665
6) Why was the good/moderate boundary set at that level?	Initially set looking at AMBI groupings (taxa sensitivities) across a known anthropogenic impact gradient (sewage sludge disposal) and relating to the normative definitions. Normative definitions were expanded using expert judgement so that Species richness moderately reduced. Evenness/diversity moderately reduced. Community abundance – sensitive taxa (Group I) of negligible abundance or absent. Indifferent taxa (Group II) of low sub-dominant abundance. Tolerant taxa (Group III), Opportunistic Taxa (Group IV) and indicator taxa (Group V) co-dominate the abundance. Boundary was harmonised with other Member States through the NEAGIG process.
<ul> <li>7) Please provide an "implications" of the classification, based on the best available data for any non-intercalibrated G/M EQRs</li> <li>Depending on the tool, this may include: <ul> <li>an initial estimate of water bodies in each class across the country (map and/or table);</li> <li>estimates from trials of how the results are likely to compare with expectations (e.g. in relation to results from applying environmental standards)</li> <li>how the results for the tool are expected to compare with intercalibrated results for other tools sensitive to the same type of pressure (i.e. more or less stringent)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Tool intercalibrated through NEAGIG, Draft Classification results not available until after end of March 2008.

Northern Ireland Environment Agency 17 Antrim Road Lisburn BT28 3AL

Our aim is to protect, conserve and promote the natural environment and built heritage for the benefit of present and future generations.



www.ni environment.gov.uk