THE MAIDENS SAC
UK0030384

CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES

Document Details

Title	*The Maidens SAC Conservation Objectives*
Prepared By	*L. Pothanikat*
Approved By	*J. Breen*
Date Effective From	*20/03/2017*
Version Number	*V2*
Next Review Date	*March 2023*
Contact	cdp@daera-ni.gov.uk

Revision History:

Version	Date	Summary of Changes	Initials
V1	January 2016	Internal working document	LP
V2	March 2017	Complete review	LP

1. INTRODUCTION

EU Member States have a clear responsibility under the Habitats and Birds Directives[1] to ensure that all habitats and species of Community Interest are maintained or restored to Favourable Conservation Status (FCS). Natura 2000 sites have a crucial role to play in achieving this overall objective since they are the most important core sites for these species and habitats. Each site must therefore be managed in a way that ensures it contributes as effectively as possible to helping the species and habitats for which it has been designated reach a favourable conservation status within the EU.

To ensure that each Natura 2000 site contributes fully to reaching this overall target of FCS, it is important to set clear conservation objectives for each individual site. These should define the desired state, within that particular site, of each of the species and habitat types for which the site was designated.

Once a site has been included in the Natura 2000 network, Member States are required to implement, on each site, the necessary conservation measures which correspond to the ecological requirements of the protected habitat types and species of Community Interest present, according to Article 6.1 of the Habitats Directive. They must also prevent any damaging activities that could significantly disturb those species and habitats (Article 6.2) and to protect the site from new potentially damaging plans and projects likely to have a significant effect on a Natura 2000 site (Article 6.3, 6.4).

Conservation measures can include both site-specific measures (i.e. management actions and/or management restrictions) and horizontal measures that apply to many Natura 2000 sites over a larger area (e.g. measures to reduce nitrate pollution or to regulate hunting or resource use).

In Northern Ireland, Natura 2000 sites are usually underpinned by the designation of an Area of Special Scientific Interest (ASSI) under the Environment (NI) Order 2002 (as amended).

[1] 92/43/EEC and 2009/147/EC (codified version of Directive 79/409/EEC as amended)

2. ROLE OF CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES

Conservation Objectives have a role in

- Conservation Planning and Management – guide management of sites, to maintain or restore the habitats and species in favourable condition

- Assessing Plans and Projects, as required under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive - Habitats Regulations Assessments (HRA) are required to assess proposed plans and projects in light of the site's conservation objectives.

- Monitoring and Reporting – Provide the basis for assessing the condition of a feature, the factors that affect it and the actions required.

3. DEFINITION OF FAVOURABLE CONSERVATION STATUS

Favourable Conservation Status is defined in Articles 1(e) and 1(i) of the Habitats Directive:

The conservation status of a natural habitat is the sum of the influences acting on it and its typical species that may affect its long-term natural distribution, structure and functions as well as the long term survival of its typical species. The conservation status of a natural habitat will be taken as favourable when:

- Its natural range and areas it covers within that range are stable or increasing, and
- The specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long-term maintenance exist and are likely to continue to exist for the foreseeable future, and
- The conservation status of its typical species is favourable as defined in Article 1(i).

For species, favourable conservation status is defined in Article 1(i) as when:

- population dynamics data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its natural habitats, and;
- the natural range of the species is neither being reduced nor is likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future, and;
- there is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its population on a long term basis.

3.1 DEFINITION OF FAVOURABLE CONDITION

Favourable Condition is defined as "**the target condition for an interest feature in terms of the abundance, distribution and/or quality of that feature within the site**".

The standards for favourable condition (Common Standards) have been developed by JNCC and are applied throughout the UK. Achieving Favourable Condition on individual sites will make an important contribution to achieving Favourable Conservation Status across the Natura 2000 network.

4. SITE INFORMATION

COUNTY: DOWN

REFERENCE COORDINATES: 54.9436 -5.7519

AREA: 7461.36 ha

5. SUMMARY SITE DESCRIPTION

The Maidens proposed SAC is a group of rocky reefs detached from the coast, north east of Larne, Northern Ireland. The Maidens (or Hulin Rocks) are identified on the Admiralty Charts as a group of small rocky reefs either awash or just emergent. In only two cases are they large enough to be termed islands and to carry buildings, namely the West Maiden, which has a disused lighthouse and the East Maiden, which supports the present lighthouse (cover photograph inset). As well as the main reef plateau of East and West Maiden, there are also four other reef areas that form a part of the proposed SAC: North Klondyke Shoal which is a large submerged reef or shoaling, approximately 9 km north of West Maiden; Outer Klondyke Pinnacle, a submerged pinnacle 6km east of West Maidens; an unnamed small deep reef 8km north west of West Maiden; and Hunter Rock 5km to the south of West Maiden.

The primary reason for the proposed designation of The Maidens as an SAC is for the Annex I habitat *Reef*. Most of the reef area of The Maidens is bedrock reef with a smaller proportion of stony reef. From the multibeam echo sounding (MBES) survey analysis, combined with video tow ground truthing, some of the area has been classified as 'rock with sand infill'. It is suggested that most of this 'rock with sand infill' should be classed as Annex I *Reef* as the ground truthing suggests that the mobile sand veneer would cover and uncover that reef area.

A small area to the south of East Maiden island has been shown by diving surveys to be shallow stable sandy gravels (partially sheltered by East and West Maiden

islands) that includes maerl and other long lived species and this small area has therefore been classed as Annex I *Sandbanks slightly covered by sea water all of the time*.

Like Annex I *Sandbanks slightly covered by seawater all the time*, Annex II *Grey seals* are not the primary feature of The Maidens proposed SAC. However, these relatively remote rocks, islands and the waters surrounding them in the North Channel are important for providing haul-out sites, resting sites and foraging areas for *Grey seals*, with a maxima count of 70 adults recorded in a July 2000 survey. Recent surveys in 2009 confirmed use of the site for both pupping and breeding.

Further details of the site are available on the NIEA website (https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/publications/reasons-designation-special-area-conservation-maidens).

5.1 BOUNDARY RATIONALE

The boundary around The Maidens site has been drawn using the guidance provided by the JNCC (2004, amended by Aish *et al*. 2008), and was defined through GIS modelling using data from the mapping survey and considered against the guidelines. The key parts of this guidance are that the boundary should be restricted to only include Annex I habitat or that which is required for the maintenance of that habitat and the boundary line defined in whole degrees and minutes and seconds where possible. NIEA have used minutes to two decimal places as an equivalence of seconds as it is more commonly displayed on vessel GPS/Chartplotter systems. The guidance also states that the boundary should include as little non-Annex I habitat as possible, and should also be sufficient to allow for elimination of potential damage to the area from activities such as trawling and dredging.

The Maidens site is made up of five blocks of Annex I Reef:

1. The Maidens plateau
2. North Klondyke shoal
3. Deep reef west of North Klondyke
4. Outer Klondyke pinnacle
5. Hunter Rock

The North Klondyke shoal and the Outer Klondyke pinnacle are separated from each other and from the main Maidens plateau by deep sediment channels, over 200m deep in places, and these deep sediment channels have been excluded from the SAC area.

The Annex I sandbank (maerl and sandy gravel) feature is small and sited on The Maidens plateau reef area south of the East Maiden lighthouse.

The site is almost entirely subtidal and is remote from the coast. At the small islands of East Maiden and West Maiden and on the emergent outlying rocks the boundary of the proposed SAC extends up to Mean High Water. These intertidal areas include haul-outs for Annex II Grey seal and Common seal and are already designated in national legislation as an Area of Special Scientific Interest (ASSI).



Figure 1 The Maidens SAC with Annex I habitats Reef and Sandbanks which are slightly covered by seawater all of the time

6. SAC SELECTION FEATURES

Feature type	Feature	Global Status	Size/ extent/ pop·
Habitat	Reef	A	2550 ha
Habitat	Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	B	200 ha
Species	Grey Seal *Halichoerus grypus*	C	50 individuals
Species	Common Seal *Phoca vitulina*	D	
Species	Harbour Porpoise *Phocoena phocoena*	D	

Table 1. List of SAC selection features. Those with global status A-C will be referred to in ANNEX I.

The global status is an expert judgement of the overall value of the site for the conservation of the relevant Annex I habitat. Sites have been graded A, B or C - in the UK these gradings have been interpreted as follows:

A - Sites holding outstanding examples of the habitat in a European context.

B - Sites holding excellent stands of the habitat, significantly above the threshold for SSSI/ASSI notification but of somewhat lower value than grade A sites.

C - Examples of the habitat which are of at least national interest (i.e. usually above the threshold for SSSI/ASSI notification on terrestrial sites) but not significantly above this. These habitats are not the primary reason for SACs being selected.

D - Habitat present but not of sufficient extent or quality to merit listing as SAC feature.

There is therefore a distinction between the principal features for which sites have been selected (those graded A or B) and those which are only of secondary interest (those graded C). This is a useful distinction but it is important to note that all three grades are qualifying SAC interest features.

Click here to go to the Natura 2000 Standard Data Form for The Maidens SAC.

6.1 ASSI SELECTION FEATURES

The Maidens ASSI

Feature Type	Feature	Size/ extent/ pop~
Habitat	Intertidal rock	XXha
Species	European Shag breeding population	97 individuals
Species	Common Seal (*Phoca vitulina*)	20[2] individuals
Species	Grey Seal (*Halichoerus grypus*)	60[2] individuals

[1] Population given as number of nests/individuals recorded during the Seabird 2000 survey
[2] Population given as number of individuals recorded during the 2008 seal survey

Table 2 List of ASSI features

7. CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES

The ***Conservation Objective*** for this site is:

To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the

- **Reefs**
- **Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time**
- **Grey Seal *Halichoerus grypus***

to favourable condition.
Maintain implies that the feature is in favourable condition and will, subject to natural change, remain at its condition at designation. Restore implies that the feature is degraded to some degree and that activities will have to be managed to reduce or eliminate negative impact(s). Restoration in the marine environment can refer to natural recovery through the removal of unsustainable physical, chemical and biological pressures, as well as intervention.

For each SAC feature, there are a number of component objectives which are outlined in the table below. These include a series of attributes, measures and targets which form the basis of *Condition Assessment*. The results of this will determine whether the feature is in favourable condition or not. The feature attributes and measures are found in Annex I.

8. SAC SELECTION FEATURE OBJECTIVE REQUIREMENTS

Feature	Global Status	Component Objective
Reefs	A	Maintain and enhance, as appropriate the extent of the reefs
		Allow the natural processes which determine

		the development, structure, function and distribution of the habitats associated with the reefs, to operate appropriately.
		Maintain and enhance, as appropriate, the viability, distribution and diversity of typical species within this habitat.
Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	B	Maintain the extent and volume of sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time, subject to natural processes.
		Allow the natural processes which determine the development, structure and extent of sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time, to operate appropriately.
		Maintain and enhance, as appropriate, the viability, distribution and diversity of typical species within this habitat.
Grey Seal *Halichoerus grypus*	C	Maintain (and if feasible enhance) population numbers and distribution of Grey Seal.
		Maintain and enhance, as appropriate, physical features used by Grey Seals within the site.

9. ASSI FEATURE OBJECTIVE REQUIREMENTS

Feature	Component Objective
European Shag breeding population	No significant decrease in population against national trends, caused by on-site factors
Intertidal Rock	Maintain and enhance species diversity within the maritime communities
	Maintain and enhance, as appropriate, transitions to other communities
Grey Seal *Halichoerus grypus*	See SAC Selection Feature Objective Requirements table
Common Seal *Phoca vitulina*	No significant decrease in population against national trends, caused by on-site factors

10. MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

The following issues relate to many marine sites and in certain circumstances may have some bearing on the management of the Maidens SAC.

11. MAIN THREATS, PRESSURES AND ACTIVITIES WITH IMPACTS ON THE SITE

Both on-site and off-site activities can potentially affect SAC/ASSI features. The list below is not exhaustive, but deals with the most likely factors that are either affecting The Maidens, or could affect it in the future. Although **Reefs, Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time, and Grey Seal *Halichoerus grypus*** are the qualifying SAC features, factors affecting coastal ASSI features are also considered.

NOTE - Carrying out any of the Notifiable Operations listed in The Maidens ASSI schedule could affect the site.

Aggregate extraction/Maerl extraction
Extraction of aggregates or extraction of maerl, either within or adjacent to the SAC, have the potential to cause direct loss or deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities; including the deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities by smothering and increased turbidity from re-suspended material.

Agriculture and Forestry Operations
Diffuse run-off from agricultural practices has the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities, primarily through the alteration of water quality by discharge of organic or inorganic pollutants. Changes in agricultural (including grazing regimes) or forestry practices or changes of land use have the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities through changes in the nature and loading of sediments in rivers that discharge to coastal areas.

Aquaculture – Finfish farming
Finfish farming has the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities through changes in water quality, smothering from waste material and physical disturbance from mooring systems. There is potential for accidental introduction of new non-native species and increasing the spread of existing non-native plants and animals which are already widely distributed in the UK. Invasive species have the potential to cause deterioration of the qualifying interests by altering community structure and quality.

Aquaculture – Shellfish farming
Shellfish farming has the potential to cause deterioration of the qualifying habitats and communities through physical damage (e.g. installation of mooring blocks and continued scouring by riser chains) and changes in community structure caused by smothering from pseudo-faeces (undigested waste products) and debris (including dead shells) falling from the farm. There is also potential for accidental introduction of new non-native species and increasing the spread of existing non-native plants and animals through importation or translocation of

shellfish stocks. Invasive species have the potential to cause deterioration of the qualifying interests by altering community structure and quality.

Coastal and Marine Development and Infrastructure Maintenance
The construction and maintenance of structures, both within and adjacent to the sea, have the potential to cause direct loss or deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities. An example of this may be coastal defence structures that may change local patterns of sediment suspension or deposition. Other examples include: renewable and other energy installations (including offshore wind, tide and wave energy and oil and gas installations); pipelines and cables; and marina and harbour developments and maintenance including the dredging of harbours, marinas and navigation channels. In many of these cases disturbance of the seabed may cause increased turbidity and smothering in adjacent areas as well as the direct impact in the area of operation.

Discharge of Commercial effluent or sewage
Commercial effluent has the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities, through pollution or nutrient enrichment, which may cause subsequent changes in community structure. Contaminants may enter species food chains, including those that are persistent and those that tend to bioaccumulate and biomagnify. Lipophyllic contaminants such as organohalides are of particular concern as they tend to accumulate within fatty tissue and are remobilised during lactation in seals. Contamination of female seals by hydrocarbon residues may be detrimental to suckling pups.

Disposal of dredge spoil
The disposal of either capital or maintenance dredge spoil, either within or adjacent to the SAC, has the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities, through smothering, increased turbidity, or re-suspension of pollutants.

Commercial Fishing – Mobile gear (dredging and bottom trawling)
Benthic dredging and bottom trawling have the potential to cause deterioration and damage to qualifying habitats and communities (particularly maerl, Hall-Spencer, 2000) through direct contact with the dredge gear, and sedimentation when dredging occurs close to the qualifying interest. Loss of certain species through targeted catch or by-catch has the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities. The Department is currently engaging with the fishing community to gather detailed evidence on the locations of specific gear usage with a view to producing a fisheries management plan for the SAC. This includes a full analysis of all known fishing activities gathered over recent years.

Commercial Fishing – Pelagic mid-water trawling
Pelagic mid-water trawling has minimal potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities through direct contact, as the trawl gear is

mostly well above the seabed (except occasionally for vessel turning in shallow water). However loss of certain species through targeted catch or by-catch has the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities.

Commercial Fishing – Static gear (creel/pot fishing)
The use of creels and / or pots in a localised area has the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities through direct contact, particularly during their deployment and / or recovery. Loss of certain species through targeted catch or by-catch has the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities. Seals can be accidentally captured and drowned in static fishing gear and persistent synthetic fishing gear debris, in particular, pups.

Marine Traffic – Boat maintenance and antifoulant use
Most antifoulant products are designed to kill or discourage naturally occurring organisms and, as such, cause damage to the water environment if used carelessly. Under such circumstances use of antifoulant has the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities within this site.

Marine Traffic – Commercial and recreational vessels
The Maidens SAC is within the confines of the North Channel, a busy shipping route. The ferry route between Larne and Lough Ryan passes through The Maidens SAC boundary. The Port of Larne has a Port Marine Safety Code and the following documents should be reviewed: 'Safety Management System' and 'Safety Policy Objectives'. The pumping of bilges, discharge of ballast water, accidental grounding, or accidental oil (or other chemical) spillage from commercial vessels could therefore all occur close to the SAC. Such incidents have the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities through direct or indirect impacts. Emergency and oil spillage contingency plans should take into account specific qualifying interests and recognise the importance of marine SACs should such incidents occur. Smaller recreational and fishing vessels also have the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities through fuel spillage and grounding.

There is also potential for accidental introduction of new non-native species and increasing the spread of existing non-native plants and animals through bilge or ballast water, sea chests, and bio-fouling on hulls (identified as a particular risk on vessels for sale that are in the water for some time before being moved to a new location). Invasive species have the potential to cause deterioration of the qualifying interests by altering community structure and quality.

Disturbance at seal haul-outs may disrupt the mother-pup bond and cause separation. Disturbance during the breeding season may lead to modifications of pupping activity as seen through avoidance of sites easily accessible by boats or through habituation to human presence.

Marine Traffic – Boat anchorages and moorings

Anchors and moorings have the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities through the direct impact of the anchor/mooring and the riser chains.

Marine Renewables

The Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of Offshore Wind and Marine Renewable Energy by the Department of Energy, Trade and Investment (DETI, 2009) assessed the potential for commercial and test/demonstration sites in NI waters. This assessment identified potential impacts of such developments and related mitigating actions to be considered at the project developments stage. A possible commercial scale Tidal Resource Zone was identified off the North Coast within which the Crown Estate as managers of the seabed has offered development rights to two consortia, Tidal Ventures Ltd and Fair Head Tidal. However there are no tidal energy developments in this area at present and the Department is engaging with the developers in considering their respective marine licence applications.

The UK's Department of Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (UK BEIS) administers marine environmental regulations associated with oil and gas exploration and production and the decommissioning of marine installations, wells, pipelines and associated infrastructure in the UK marine area (excluding internal waters). At present there is no oil or gas exploration licence for the 5 offshore blocks in the Antrim Coast (the Maidens SAC lies approximately 22km from this area).

The development of marine renewables has the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities through direct alteration, removal or manipulation of these qualifying interests and their associated species. Furthermore, deterioration of qualifying habitats and disturbance of species may occur through the use of pile driving or powerful sonar required for surveys or construction phases as these may directly harm marine mammals or act as a barrier to marine mammals using the area.

Scientific research

Research activities have the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and communities through direct alteration, removal or manipulation of these qualifying interests and their associated species. In addition, disturbance of seals may occur through various research activities, including the use of remotely operated technology (e.g. drones) especially when hauled out. These activities should be communicated to the Department for specific advice about the potential of impact and subsequent mitigation.

Geological surveys and military exercises

Geological and other surveys and military exercises all have the potential to cause deterioration of qualifying habitats and species, particularly through the use of

seismic surveys or powerful sonar that may harm cetaceans or act as a barrier to cetaceans using the area. These activities should be communicated to the Dept for specific advice for the potential of impact and subsequent mitigation.

Wildlife watching trips

Wildlife watching trips (boat and land based) have the potential to cause disturbance to species if operators are not appropriately trained in how to approach species while minimising potential disturbance. In addition, damage to sensitive habitats may occur through lack of knowledge of their location. Various wildlife training courses are available which teach best practice when dealing with wildlife.

Climate Change

Northern Ireland faces changes to its climate over the next century. Indications are that we will face hotter, drier summers, warmer winters and more frequent extreme weather events. The Northern Ireland Climate Change Adaptation Programme was published in January 2014. This contains the Northern Ireland Executive's response to the risks and opportunities identified in the Climate Change Risk Assessment for Northern Ireland (published January 2012) as part of the overall UK Climate Change Risk Assessment. The Adaptation Programme provides the strategic objectives in relation to adaptation to climate change, the proposals and policies by which each department will meet these objectives and the timescales associated with the proposals and policies identified in the period up to 2019.

12. MONITORING

The SACs are surveyed using two forms of monitoring:

Site Integrity Monitoring (SIM) is carried out to ensure compliance with the ASSI/ SAC conservation objectives. The most likely processes of change will either be picked up by SIM (e.g. fishing, disturbance etc.) or will be comparatively slow (e.g. gradual degradation of the habitat). Although the Maidens are remote, SIM is combined with regular seal counts as well as through the active marine ranger programme.

Site Condition Assessment of the designated features is carried out on a rolling 6 year basis to pick up subtle changes in the condition of the feature.

Site condition assessments include a variety of techniques such as diving, remote cameras, sediment sampling and acoustic seabed mapping. Marine mammal monitoring programmes also contribute.

12.1 MONITORING SUMMARY

1. *Monitor the integrity of the site (SIM or Compliance Monitoring)*

This SIM should be carried out at least once a year.

2. Monitor the condition of the site (Condition Assessment)

Monitor the key attributes for each of the SAC selection features. This will detect if the features are in favourable condition or not. Refer to Annex I.

The favourable condition table provided in Annex I is intended to supplement the conservation objectives only in relation to management of established and ongoing activities and future reporting requirements on monitoring condition of the site and its features. It does not by itself provide a comprehensive basis on which to assess plans and projects, but it does provide a basis to inform the scope and nature of any Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) that may be needed. It should be noted that completion of a HRA is a separate activity to condition monitoring, requiring consideration of issues specific to individual plans or projects.

13. REFERENCES

Ackers, R.G., Moss, D. Picton, B.E. Stone, S.M.K., Morrow, C.C. 2007. *Sponges of the British Isles (Sponge V), 1992 edition reset with modifications.* Marine Conservation Society/Ulster Museum, Belfast.

Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute, AFBI (2009) Position statement on sharks, skates and rays in Northern Ireland waters. Northern Ireland Environment Agency Research and Development Series No. 09/03

Aish, A., Johnston, C., and Turnbull, C. (2008). Selection criteria and guiding principles for selection of Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) for marine Annex 1 habitats and Annex II species in UK waters. Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC MN2KPG17_SAcCRIT)

CEC, Commission of the European Community. (1995). Natura 2000 Standard Data Form: Explanatory notes. Brussels: European Commission DG Environment.

Clements, A., Plets, R., and Quinn, R. (2010). Habitat Mapping of the Skerries/Causeway Proposed Marine SAC.

Connor, D., Allen, J.H., Golding, N., Howell, K.L., Lieberknecht, L.M., Northern, K.O., and Reker, J.B. 2004. The Marine Habitat Classification for Britain and Ireland Version 04.05 JNCC, Peterborough. ISBN 1 861 07561 8 (internet version) www.jncc.gov.uk/MarineHabitatClassification

Connnor, D.W., Gilliad, P.M. Golding, N. Robinson, P., Todd, D. & Verling, E, (2006). UKSeaMap: the mapping of seabed and water column features of UK Seas.

Duck, C (2006) Results of the thermal image survey of seals around the coast of Northern Ireland. Northern Ireland Environment Agency Research and Development series No 06/09.

Erwin, D.G., PICTON, B., Connor, D.W., Howson, C.M., Gilleece, P. and Bogues, M.J.(1986). The Northern Ireland Sublittoral Survey. Report for the Department of the Environment Northern Ireland, Ulster Museum, Belfast

European Union DG Environment (2007a). Interpretation manual of European habitats (EUR27)

Folk, R.L. (1954). The distinction between grain size and mineral composition in sedimentary rock nomenclature. *Journal of Geology* 62(4):344-359

Goodwin, C.E., Picton, B.E., Breen, J. & Edwards, H. 2008. Sublittoral Survey Northern Ireland: A review of the status of Northern Ireland Priority Species of marine invertebrates. National Museums Northern Ireland/Northern Ireland Environment Agency.

Goodwin, C.E. & Picton, B.E. 2009. Demosponges of the genus *Hymedesmia* (Poecilosclerida: Hymedesmiidae) from Rathlin Island, Northern Ireland with a description of six new species. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 156:896-912.

Goodwin, C., Picton, B., Breen, J., and Edwards, H., 2010. The Maidens, Report from the Sublittoral Survey Northern Ireland (May 2006-May 2009).

Hiscock, K. ed. 1996. Marine Nature Conservation Review: rationale and methods. Peterborough,Joint Nature Conservation Committee. (Coasts and seas of the United Kingdom. MNCR series.)

JNCC (2004). UK guidance on defining boundaries for marine SACs for Annex I habitat sites fully detached from the coast [online]. http://www.jncc.gov.uk/pdf/SACHabBoundaryGuidanceFinal.pdf

King, G.L. (2006). Review of marine turtle records in Northern Ireland. Northern Ireland Environment Agency, Research and Development Series. No 07/02.

Moore, J. 2002. An atlas of marine biodiversity action plan species and habitats and Species of Conservation Concern in Wales. 2nd Edition. CCW Contract Science Report No. 509. A report for the Countryside Council of Wales. Coastal Assessment Liason and Monitoring: Pembrokeshire.

Morton, O.M. (1994). Marine Algae of Northern Ireland. Ulster Museum, Belfast.

Picton, B. and Goodwin, C.E. (2007a). Sponge Biodiversity of Rathlin Island. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 87:1441-1458.

Picton, B. and Goodwin, C. E. (2007b). Sponge Biodiversity of Rathlin Island. Project report for EU BSP and EHS. Ulster Museum, Department of Zoology.

Strong, J.A., 2010. Bathymetric and Habitat Maps of the Maidens/Klondyke Rocky Reef Complex (Proposed Special Area of Conservation), Northern Ireland. Agri-Food and Bioscience Institute, Northern Ireland.

Wilson, S (2009) Marine Mammal Activity in the coastal area between Larne Lough, Islandmagee and the Maidens Rocks in relation to the proposed brine outfall works for the gas storage project.

ANNEX I

The marine Annex I habitats are very broadly defined habitats that are often represented by large and complex sites. To effectively describe, monitor and manage such complex features, it has been necessary to divide some of them into smaller units called *sub-features*. Sub-features are distinctive biological communities (e.g. eelgrass beds, maerl beds, horse-mussel reefs), or particular structural or geographical elements of the feature. Due to the broad nature of marine Annex I features, it has often proved helpful, both in the development of conservation objectives, and of monitoring programs, to separate the feature into a number of constituent sub-features, and then to identify attributes and targets for the sub-features. The use of sub-features has been found to be particularly helpful for those marine Annex I features that represent whole physiographic units and permits a level of flexibility in the application of the UK's Common Standards Monitoring which has been found necessary when applying the standards at the site level.

Feature 1 (SAC) – Reef (status A)

*=primary attribute. One failure among primary attribute = unfavourable condition

Feature	Sub-feature	Attribute	Measure	Targets	Comments
Reef	Subtidal Rock and Boulder Communities Subtidal Rocky Reef Communities Intertidal Rock and Boulder Communities	* Characteristic biotopes at sites chosen so as to provide some indication of the distribution and extent of the Sub-feature.	Presence of the selected biotopes at selected sites measured once sure the reporting cycle.	Results should not deviate significantly from the established baseline, subject to natural change.	Baseline survey conducted by the Department with NMNI 2006-2009 and as a contract with AFBI (Strong, 2010). Changes in extent and distribution may indicate long term changes in the physical conditions at the site.
		* Species composition of selected biotopes at	Species composition of the selected biotopes measured once during the	Composite species of selected biotopes	Species composition will be used to determine the biotope classification. A list of selected indicator species identified by field surveys will be

		monitoring sites.	reporting cycle.	should not deviate significantly from the established baseline, subject to natural change.	utilised to determine the achievement of the conservation objectives through presence/absence at monitoring sites. The species composition of some biotopes may provide further information on changes/trends in these communities.

Feature 2 (SAC) – Sandbanks which are slightly covered by seawater all of the time (status B)
*=primary attribute. One failure among primary attribute = unfavourable condition

Feature	Sub-feature	Attribute	Measure	Targets	Comments
Subtidal sandbanks		*Extent	Area (ha) of the subtidal sandbanks to be measured periodically (frequency to be determined).	Ensure that quality and extent of sandbank are not threatened by aggregate removal.	Currently there is no licensed aggregate removal activity within or near to this SAC.
		*Sediment character	Particle size analysis (PSA). Parameters include percentage sand/silt/gravel, mean and median grain size, and sorting coefficient, used to characterise sediment type. Sediment character to be measured once during the reporting cycle.	Average PSA parameters should not deviate significantly from an established baseline subject to natural change.	Sediment character defined by PSA is key to the structure of the feature, and reflects all of the physical processes acting on it. Particle size composition varies across the feature and can be used to indicate spatial distribution of sediment types thus reflecting the stability of the feature and the processes supporting it. This is currently addressed through WFD monitoring programme.
		*Topography	Depth distribution of sandbanks from selected sites, measured periodically (frequency to	Depth distribution should not deviate significantly from an established	Depth and distribution of the sandbank reflects the energy conditions and stability of the sediment, which is key to the

			be determined).	baseline, subject to natural change.	structure of the feature. Depth of the feature is a major influence on the distribution of communities throughout. The baseline for this feature was delivered through work carried out by AFBI (2010) on to provide habitat maps.
	Subtidal Sand and Gravel Communities Subtidal Fine Sand and Mud Communities	*Characteristic biotopes at sites chosen so as to provide some indication of the distribution and extent of the Sub-Feature.	Presence of the selected biotopes as identified by the NI Sublittoral survey at selected sites measured once during the reporting cycle	Results should not deviate significantly from the established baseline, subject to natural change.	Baseline survey required. Changes in extent and distribution may indicate long term changes in the physical conditions at the site
		*Species composition of selected biotopes at monitoring sites.	Species composition of the selected biotopes as identified by the NI Sublittoral survey measured once during the reporting cycle.	Composite species of selected biotopes should not deviate significantly from the established baseline, subject to natural change.	Species composition will be used to determine the biotope classification. The species composition of some biotopes may provide further information on changes/trends in these communities.

Feature 3 (SAC) – Grey Seal *Halichoerus grypus* (status C)

*=primary attribute. One failure among primary attribute = unfavourable condition

Attribute	Measure	Targets	Comments
*Number of Adults	Maintain and enhance the population as appropriate.	The number of adults to be at least 50 individuals.	Data generated by ongoing DAERA Marine and Fisheries Division survey.
*Distribution of adults	Maintain the range and distribution of grey seals.		Ensure individuals operations or activities (in combination with other operations or activities) do not cause a change in range, distribution or population structure which would result in unfavourable conditions for the future conservation interests of this species.
*Habitat availability	Number of areas used for moulting, haul-out and breeding.	Ensure that there is a sufficiently large habitat (haul-outs) of suitable quality available to support the long term survival of this species.	