

Site name and designation:	Rathlin Kelp Farm
File number:	
File Type & Title:	Kelp farm
Applicant details:	Islander Kelp Ltd. Contact
Brief description of proposal	Islander Kelp Ltd., (formerly Ocean Veg Ireland) have grown and harvested farmed kelp under license now for 8 years at the farm site at Killeany Bay on the south facing side of Rathlin Island on shore below the chalk cliffs. The site consists of a series of ropes, currently 6, laid east to west, anchored at each end with 1 tonne anchors and chain and marked with A5 hard buoys. The anchors lie in an approximate depth of 15-20 metres. Each segment of rope (approximately 30 metres) is anchored using large boulder anchors between 300kg and marked using A3 Polyform buoys. Between each segment hard floats are tied and weighted to sink the rope to a depth of 1.5 metres. Each rope is spaced approximately 10-15 metres apart. The site is marked at the south east corner with an A5 buoy anchored with a 3-tonne concrete block and chain. In the south west corner, a large yellow hard-shell marker buoy with flashing light is anchored using a 3-tonne concrete block and chain. We propose to increase the number of ropes we have within our licenced area but do not have any plans to expand the farm area.
Date Application received EMFG	
Date of Site Visit/s	
Date of Report	
Location of report	

N2K site?	Yes No	
Stage of Habitats Regulation Assessment undertaken:		
Stage 1: Test of Likely Significance carried out?	Yes⊠ No⊡	
Stage 2: Appropriate Assessment carried out?	Yes⊡ No⊠	
Stage 3: Assessment of Alternatives	Yes⊡ No⊠	
Stage 4: Imperative Reasons of Overriding Public	Yes No 🛛	
Interest		
ASSI Features Assessed by CDP:	Yes No	

Ramsar Features assessed by CDP: Yes No			
	Signed:		Date:
Case officer:			
HSO:			
SSO:			

Proposals

We propose an extension of licence on the current site to continue the growing and harvesting of kelp. Currently 6 ropes are in place, running east to west, anchored at each end at a depth between 15-20 metres to the sand below. With our current anchoring system, we haven't recorded any movement using our GPS mapping of the farm. Farming was scaled back during covid, and is just back now to its pre covid size of around 2 klms over 6 ropes. The farm site could accommodate 3 times this, but at the moment we do not have demand for more kelp.

To grow the kelp, we cultivate spores from the sorus of the native wild kelp (digitata and saccharina), sourced on Rathlin Island. These spores grow on spools of string which are then spun along the ropes in place at sea. No fertiliser or artificial feed is added to the water. This work is typically carried out during autumn and early winter months. The kelp is large enough to harvest by late spring and with good harvesting practices such as leaving stipes attached and never stripping a rope bare, we see growth year-round. We also hope this protects the aquatic habitat, any seaweed below grade i.e. unwanted species, dying, excess marine growth is returned to the water on site along with any crustaceans. Our set-up remains in the sea year-round. Each rope may work for 2 seasons until it needs to be removed, cleaned and repurposed.

On our approach to the farm we travel west form church bay at a slow pace, our vessels top speed being 10kmh, avoiding flushing or risk of collision with seabirds and marine mammals, our site is also located approximately 150 metres from shore, reducing disturbance to nesting birds, going forward Islander Kelp will record all bird and marine mammal sightings on farm visits. *Attached as appendix 2 is our monitoring form.* We have never recorded any bird entanglement, collision or deceased animals in the licenced area. When we enter the farm site, we lift the ropes using a gantry and hauler. We then pull our way along the rope and harvest by hand. At no point do we anchor our vessel on site. Maintenance is regularly carried out including cleaning floats, checking anchors and swivels, mapping, monitoring growth etc. Time spent on the site can range between 1-3 hours.

To place a rope in the farm we first place a Bruce anchor weighted with chain to a depth of 15-20 metres, we allow the anchor to bed itself, and mark it using an A5 buoy. Then we attach a 30-metre length of nylon rope with a shackle and swivel, nylon is preferred as it sinks through water. This length of rope is then anchored using a stone anchor and marked with an A3 buoy. At this point the cultivated spool is then spun along the rope. We cultivate two species, Laminaria Digitata and Saccharina Latissima, the spores of which are collected from the native wild kelp, other varieties naturally seed onto our ropes such as dulse. Weights and hard floats are attached every 5 metres, this holds the rope to a depth of 1.5 metres, the ideal depth for growth while allowing small vessels to travel over without rope fouling.

One full line may have 4-7 lengths. At the end of a line we attach another plough anchor and A5 buoy. Our lines are spread 10-15 metres apart to allow safe working distance for our boat.

We propose to increase the number of ropes on site using the same methods, these ropes will be placed to the south, alongside our other ropes. We will also continue regular visits to the site for harvest and maintenance throughout the year. In good weather conditions this could be twice weekly for 1-3 hours.

Summary of site designations

Include a brief overview of the designation(s) to which the application applies. MCZ, ASSI, SPA, SAC

Site Records

Give a brief overview of any information held relating to the application site.

Indicate any changes in management of the land if known.

The site has been used for kelp farming since 2015. The activity has not been known to have affected the environment in any way. There have been no sightings of injured birds, mammal entanglement or any other negative impacts from the farm.

Site visit

If a site visit was undertaken give details below.

Summary of effects on N2K features

Select an option based on the level of assessment - 1 for ToLS or 2 for AA.

- 1. A Test of Likely Significance has been undertaken. The Natura 2000 site is unlikely to be significantly affected by the proposal.
- 2. An Appropriate Assessment has been undertaken. The integrity of the Natura 2000 site will not be adversely affected by the proposal.

Introduction

In accordance with Regulation 43(1) of the Conservation (Natural Habitats, etc) (Northern Ireland) 1995 (as amended), DAERA Marine and Fisheries Division has considered whether the project, plan or proposal either alone or in combination (neither being directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site) is likely to have a significant effect on the Natura 2000 site.

As part of that consideration, DAERA Marine and Fisheries Division has:-

- a) taken into account the mitigation measures contained in the project, plan or proposal, along with all legally enforceable obligations designed to avoid environmental effects;
- b) applied the precautionary approach set out in European Commission Guidance: "Managing Natura 2000 Sites"¹ and by the European Court of Justice in C-127/02 (Waddenzee), paragraphs 56 and 59.²

"The authorisation of a plan or project may only be granted if the Competent National Authority is certain that it will not have any adverse effect on the integrity of the site concerned. That is where no reasonable scientific doubt remains as to the absence of such effect."

c) consulted the Department and have regard to any representations made by it within such reasonable time as the competent authority may specify for the purposes of the assessment or determining whether an assessment is required for a plan or project. This is required by Regulation 43(3), The Conservation (Natural Habitats, etc.) (Amendment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007.³

Web link references for the above:

- 1. European Commission Guidance: "Managing Natura 2000 Sites" http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/natura2000/management/docs/art6/provision_of_art6_en.pdf
- 2. European Court of Justice in C-127/02, Waddenzee, paragraphs 56 and 59 http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:62002J0127:EN:PDF
- 3. The Conservation (Natural Habitats, etc.) (Amendment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007 http://www.legislation.gov.uk/nisr/2007/345/regulation/14/made

Stage 1: Test of Likely Significance (Screening)

Screening Matrix	
Name of Project or Plan:	Rathlin Kelp Farm
File number:	
Name and location of Natura 2000 site:	Rathlin MCZ
Natura 2000 site features:	
Description of the Project or Plan	Size and scale
• Size and scale;	Killeany, approximately 10 acres of sea space,
• Land-take:	
Distance from Natura 2000 site or key	Distance from Natura 2000 site or key features of
features of the site:	the site
Resource requirements (water	Site lies within MCZ, ASSI, SAC and SPA
abstraction etc):	boundaries 150 metres from the cliffs and nesting
• Emission (disposal to land, water or	birds such as black guillemot on the south shore of
air):	the island. The site is not located near any
Excavation requirements:	submerged seastacks, sea-caves, drift-lines, or
Transportation requirements:	deep seabed.
Duration of construction, operation	Land-take
de-commissioning etc:	None
• Other.	Resource requirements (water abstraction etc)
	None
	Emission (disposal to land, water or air)
	Excavation requirements
	Transportation requirements
	<u>Nana an land work done by 26ft kelp best at the</u>
	site
	Duration of construction operation
	decommissioning etc
	Current set-up in place increasing number of
	ropes by 2 will take approximately 24 hours over a
	number of days, each visit lasting roughly 3 hours
	Operations are carried out year-round weather
	dependent, site visits carried out 2 times a week
	lasting 1-3 hours Ropes are removed when they
	reach the end of use typically 2 seasons. Anchors
	remain in place and new ropes are placed in the
	same position. We have the resources on Rathlin
	to decommission the entire farm set-up
Is the Project or Plan directly connected with or	Yes. The project is the site as such it will be
necessary to the management of the site (provide	managed on a continual basis.
details)?	-
If yes proceed no further.	
Describe the individual elements of the project	None
(either alone or in combination with other plans	
or projects) likely to give rise to effects on the	
Natura 2000 site.	

N2K Feature affected	Describe any likely direct, indirect	*Effect Significant/Not Significant?	
(all features to be	effects to the N2K features arising	Explain why.	
assessed at this stage):	as a result of:		
	Reduction of habitat area; disturbance; Habitat or species fragmentation; Reduction in species density; Changes in key indicators of conservation value (e.g. water quality, climate change).	Indirect disturbance of black guillemot and diving birds by visual disturbance and underwater noise change. Not significant as our vessel travels at low speeds far from shore where birds are nesting. No collision has ever been recorded on our site. Bird count records will be kept for each site visit.	
		Our vessel activities are so minimal especially when compared with motor launches, high speed boats etc. that regularly (and increasingly) travel in Church Bay Rathlin. We may be on site about twice a week in the spring, and twice a month during seeding. The farm system is widely spread out, so not impacting light penetration in the area.	
Pathlin Island SAC Foot	nrost		
Submorgod or portiolly	Not offected	None leasted within site	
submerged sea-caves	Not anected	None located within site	
Annual vegetation of drift-lines	Not affected	Site outside of strandlines	
Reefs	Not affected	Site has no reefs	
Sandbanks	Not affected	No removal of seabed	
Vegetated sea cliffs	Not affected	No contact with land	
[<mark>SITE NAME</mark>] SAC Feat	ures:		

*Only mitigation measures designed within the application can be considered at this stage. Any conditions that EMFG would impose must be assessed through the appropriate assessment stage.

Describe any potential effects on the Natura 2000 site as a whole in terms of: interference with the key relationships that define the structure or function of the site	Effect considered significant/non-significant: Finding of No significant effects Matrix
No effects	

Provide details of any other projects or plans that together with the project or plan being assessed could (directly or indirectly) affect the site.	Provide details of any likely in-combination effects and quantify their significance -
None	

Is the potential scale or magnitude of any effect likely to be significant?	Effects are considered likely to
	be significant.
Alone?	Yes No
In-combination with other projects of plans?	Yes No

List of Agencies Consulted: Provide contact name and telephone or email address.	N/A.
Summary of response to consultation received.	N/A.

Conclusion: Is the proposal likely to have a significant effect on an N2K site?	Yes No	
IF IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSAL WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT		

IF ANY PART OF THE PROPOSAL IS LIKELY TO HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT AN

EFFECT THEN ASSESSMENT IS COMPLETED.

APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT WILL BE REQUIRED – STAGE 2 APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT.

Stage 2: Appropriate Assessment Report

Assessment of the Effects of the Project or Plan on the Integrity of the Site			
Describe the elements of the	N/A		
project or plan (alone or in	No activities will have an effect on the site.		
combination with other projects			
or plans) that are likely to give			
rise to significant effects on the			
site (from screening assessment)			
Set out the Conservation	[<mark>SITE NAME</mark>] SAC		
objectives of the site			
	[<mark>SITE NAME</mark>] SPA		
Describe how the project or plan	No impact or affect on key species, the integrity of the site.		
will affect key species, key			
habitats and the integrity of the			
site (determined by structure and			
function and conservation			
objectives).			
Acknowledge uncertainties and			
any gaps in information.			
Describe what mitigation	N/A		
measures are to be introduced to			
avoid or reduce the adverse			
effects on the integrity of the site.			
Acknowledge uncertainties and			
any gaps in information			

Appropriate Assessment: Mitigation Measures

List measures to be introduced	Explain how the measures will avoid the adverse effects on the integrity of the site.	Explain how the measures will reduce the adverse effects on the integrity of the site.	Provide evidence of how they will be implemented and by whom.
List mitigation measures (as above)	Provide evidence of the degree of confidence in their likely success	Provide time-scale, relative to the project of plan, when they will be implemented	Explain the proposed monitoring scheme and how any mitigation failure will be addressed

Data collected to carry out the assessment

Who carried out the assessment?	
Sources of data	
Level of assessment completed	
Where can the full results of the assessment be	
accessed and viewed?	
Response to consultation	

Appendix 1 – Site Selection Features and Conservation Objectives

Declarations

Conservation Objectives for N2K Features

Feature	Global Status	Component Objective
Reefs	A	Maintain and enhance, as appropriate
		the extent of the reers
		Allow the hatural processes which
		function and extent of the reafer to
		operate appropriately
		Maintain and onhance, as appropriate
		the species diversity within this habitat.
Submerged or partially submerged sea cave	В	Maintain and enhance, as appropriate
		the extent of the submerged or partially
		submerged sea caves
		Allow the natural processes which
		determine the development, structure,
		function and extent of the submerged or
		partially submerged sea caves, to
		operate appropriately
		Maintain and enhance, as appropriate,
		the species diversity within this habitat.
Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic	В	Maintain the extent of vegetated sea cliff
coasts		subject to natural processes
		Allow the natural processes which
		determine the development and extent of
		vegetated sea cliffs to operate
		appropriately
		Maintain and anhanza, as appropriate
		range of maritime rock crevice and cliff
		lodge communities
		ledge communities
		Maintain and enhance, as appropriate,
		range of sea-bird cliff communities
		Maintain and enhance, as appropriate,
		range of maritime grassland communities
		Maintain and enhance, as appropriate,
		range of maritime heath communities
		Maintain and enhance, as appropriate,
		range of transitions and other
		communities
		No increase in status of non-native
		species undesirable invasive species
		and species not characteristic of typical
		communities
		Maintain and enhance, as appropriate,
		status of rare and notable species

		Monitor cliff top or near cliff management activities to ensure they do not lead to loss or enrichment of sea cliff associated communities
Annual vegetation of drift lines	С	Maintain and enhance the extent of annual vegetation of drift lines subject to natural processes
		Allow the natural processes which determine the development and extent of annual vegetation of drift lines to operate appropriately
		Maintain and enhance, as appropriate, the species diversity within this community including the presence of notable species
Sandbanks which are slightly covered by water	C	Allow the natural processes which determine the development, structure and extent of sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time, to operate appropriately
		Maintain and enhance, as appropriate, the species diversity within this habitat
		Maintain the extent and volume of sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time, subject to natural processes

Declaration signature

Ktehns

Kate Burns, Managing Director Islander Kelp Ltd. 1st June 2023

Appendix 2

Islander Kelp Bird Species Record

Birds

In Air	On Water	On Land	Total
		In Air On Water Image: Image	In Air On Water On Land Image: Im

Comments

Islander Kelp Marine Species Record

Marine Species

Species	Sightings	Total
Grey Seal		
Common Seal		
Fish activity		
Common Dolphin		
Bottlenose Dolphin		
Other dolphin/whale		
Basking shark		
Other		

Comments

Date: Recorded by: